



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,840	10/29/2003	Takayuki Yajima	848075-0059	7845
29619 7590 05/03/2011 SCHULTE ROTH & ZABEL LLP ATTN: JOEL E. LUTZKER 919 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022				
EXAMINER				
SABOURI, MAZDA				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2617				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/03/2011		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/695,840

Applicant(s)

YAJIMA, TAKAYUKI

Examiner

MAZDA SABOURI

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 March 2011.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-949)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/7/2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the pending claims, applicant argues that Metroka fails to meet the stated deficiencies of Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff in view of Ozaki. Examiner respectfully traverses this argument.

Examiner notes that applicant's characterization of the Metroka reference as a whole appears to be generally correct. However Metroka was cited specifically for defining the state of a device during its transition from fully closed and fully opened states, and not its teachings with respect to activating and deactivating keys.

Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff teaches deactivating auxiliary keys in the fully open state and activating auxiliary keys in the fully closed state. However these references fail to teach the state of the device during its transition from fully closed to fully open.

Metroka cures this deficiency by defining a set point during the transition from fully opened to fully closed in which the device goes from opened to closed. Such a teaching when applied to Ozaki in view of Anlauff would allow the device to remain in the opened state during at least part of the transition to the fully closed state. The auxiliary keys would therefor remain off during at least part of the transition to the closed state.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 7-11, 13-16 and 25** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0064758 (Mizuta et al.) in view of US 2002/0006815 (Finke-Anlauff) in view of US 2002/0061770 (Ozaki) in view 4845772 (Metroka et al.).

As to claim 7, Mizuta teaches a portable terminal unit (500, fig 4a) comprising:

- A first housing (100, fig 4a) having a main operation section (102, fig 4a);
- A second housing (200, figs 4a) superimposed on said first housing so as to cover said main operation section in a closed state and wherein both housings relatively rotate 180 degrees from the closed state (see figs 9b-9d);
- An auxiliary operation section (208, fig 4a);
- A single, main display section (202, fig 4a) for displaying screens responsive to the operation of one of said main operation section and said auxiliary operation section and provided on one of said first housing and said second housing (see paragraphs 76 and 96);
- A coupling section (300, fig 4a) for coupling to rotate both of said housings that relatively rotate around an axis extending in a superimposed direction of said two housings (see figs 9b-9d);

- Said auxiliary operation section (207, fig 4a) disposed on a side of said portable terminal unit relative to said main display section (see paragraph 159) and comprising at least one key provided on a surface other than surfaces, which are opposed to each other, of said both housings in the closed state including other than said display section;
- A detecting section (113, fig 6) for detecting movement of said coupling section to determine whether said portable terminal unit is in the opened state or in the closed state;
- Wherein in an opened state said main operation section is used to operate said main display section and wherein said at least one key is operative to operate said main display section in the closed state (see paragraphs 122, 125 and 142)

What is lacking from Mizuta is "wherein said at least one key is inoperative at least in the opened state but operative only in the closed state". Mizuta teaches that the auxiliary operation section is to be used in the opened state (see paragraphs 125 and 142) but fails to teach deactivating the auxiliary operation section in the closed state and activating it in the opened state.

In a similar field of endeavor, Finke-Anlauff teaches a portable terminal unit that comprises a single display (4, figs 1 and 2), main operation section (10+11, fig 2) to be used in an open state, and an auxiliary operation section (9, fig 2) to be used in a closed state. Finke-Anlauff teaches that the auxiliary operation section is inoperative in the

opened state when it is not being used but operative in the closed state when it is to be used (see Finke-Anlauff, paragraph 19).

The teachings of Finke-Anlauff help to ensure efficient use of the portable terminal's battery power, by deactivating operation sections when they are not being used. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Finke-Anlauff into those of Mizuta, for the reasons mentioned above.

What is further lacking from Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff is the second housing having a single display face.

In a similar field of endeavor, Ozaki teaches a substantially similar device having a single display face (3, fig 1) on the second housing (1, fig 1) (see Ozaki, figures 1 and 4-6).

The teachings of Ozaki demonstrate that the secondary display of Mizuta (see Mizuta, 206, fig 9) can be excluded. By removing that secondary display, the cost of the portable terminal unit can be reduced. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Ozaki into those of Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff, for the reasons mentioned above.

What is lacking from Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff in view of Ozaki is the auxiliary operation section being inoperative in the transition state between opened and closed. As noted above Finke-Anlauff teaches deactivating the auxiliary operation section during the fully open state and activating it when fully closed, but makes no mention of what state the terminal would be in between.

In a similar field of endeavor, Metroka defines the open state as a set point (45 degrees) in the transition from fully opened to fully closed (see Metroka, column 3, lines 6-32).

The teachings of Metroka define the state of the device when it is between a fully opened and fully closed state thereby removing ambiguity during this transition. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Metroka into those of Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff in view of Ozaki for the reason mentioned above.

As to claim 15, Mizuta teaches a portable terminal comprising:

- A first housing (200, fig 4a) having a main display section (202, fig 4a);
- A second housing (100, fig 4a) coupled to the first housing and having main keys (102, fig 4a) for the main display section (see paragraphs 76 and 96);
- An auxiliary section (207, fig 4a) for the main display section disposed on a side of the portable terminal unit relative to the main display section (see paragraphs 125, 142 and 159);
- A coupling section for coupling both of said housings wherein the first housing is movable relative to the second housing between a closed a position, in which the main keys are covered by the first housing, and an opened position, in which the main keys are exposed to an outside (see figures 9b-9d);
- A detecting section (113, fig 6) for detecting movement of said coupling section to determine whether said portable terminal unit is in the opened state or in the closed state;

- Wherein in the opened position the main display section and the auxiliary section for the main display section are both exposed to the outside, and in the closed position the main display section and the auxiliary section for the main display section are both exposed to the outside (see figures 9b-9d);
- And wherein when the first housing is moved from the closed position to the opened position, the main display section is kept active (see paragraphs 113).

What is lacking from Mizuita is "while the auxiliary section for the main display section is switched from the active to inactive" in the opened state. Mizuta teaches that the auxiliary section is to be used in the opened state (see paragraphs 125 and 142) but fails to teach deactivating the auxiliary operation section in the closed state.

In a similar field of endeavor, Finke-Anlauff teaches a portable terminal unit that comprises a single display (4, figs 1 and 2), main operation section (10+11, fig 2) to be used in an open state, and an auxiliary operation section (9, fig 2) to be used in a closed state. Finke-Anlauff teaches that the auxiliary operation section is inoperative in the opened state when it is not being used but operative in the closed state when it is to be used (see Finke-Anlauff, paragraph 19).

The teachings of Finke-Anlauff help to ensure efficient use of the portable terminal's battery power, by deactivating operation sections when they are not being used. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Finke-Anlauff into those of Mizuta, for the reasons mentioned above.

What is further lacking from Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff is the second housing having a single display face.

In a similar field of endeavor, Ozaki teaches a substantially similar device having a single display face (3, fig 1) on the second housing (1, fig 1) (see Ozaki, figures 1 and 4-6).

The teachings of Ozaki demonstrate that the secondary display of Mizuta (see Mizuta, 206, fig 9) can be excluded. By removing that secondary display, the cost of the portable terminal unit can be reduced. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Ozaki into those of Mizuta, for the reasons mentioned above.

What is lacking from of Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff in view of Ozaki is the auxiliary operation section being inoperative in the transition state between opened and closed. As noted above Finke-Anlauff teaches deactivating the auxiliary operation section during the fully open state and activating is when fully closed, but makes no mention of what state the terminal would be in between.

In a similar field of endeavor, Metroka defines the open state as a set point (45 degrees) in the transition from fully opened to fully closed (see Metroka, column 3, lines 6-32).

The teachings of Metroka define the state of the device when it is between a fully opened and fully closed state thereby removing ambiguity during this transition. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was

made to combine the teachings of Metroka into those of Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff in view of Ozaki for the reason mentioned above.

As to claim 25, Mizuta teaches a portable terminal unit (500, fig 4a) comprising:

- A first housing (100, fig 4a) having at least a main operation section (102, fig 4a);
- A second housing (200, fig 4a) having a display section and having a display face (202, fig 4a);
- A coupling section wherein both of said housings are openably and closably coupled together so that said main operation section is covered with said second housing in a closed state and is exposed outside in an opened state, and said display face is exposed outside in both of the closed state and the opened state (see figures 9b-9d),
- an auxiliary operation (207, fig 4a) section disposed on a side of said portable terminal unit relative to said display section (see paragraph 159) and comprising a key or a plurality of keys provided on other surface than surfaces, which are opposed each other, of said both housings in the closed state, including other than said display section.
- A detecting section (113, fig 6) for detecting movement of said coupling section to determine whether said portable terminal unit is in the opened state or in the closed state;

What is lacking from Mizuta is “wherein all of said auxiliary operation section is inoperative at least in the opened state except the closed state”. Mizuta teaches that

the auxiliary section is to be used in the opened state (see paragraphs 125 and 142) but fails to teach deactivating the auxiliary operation section in the closed state.

In a similar field of endeavor, Finke-Anlauff teaches a portable terminal unit that comprises a single display (4, figs 1 and 2), main operation section (10+11, fig 2) to be used in an open state, and an auxiliary operation section (9, fig 2) to be used in a closed state. Finke-Anlauff teaches that the auxiliary operation section is inoperative in the opened state when it is not being used but operative in the closed state when it is to be used (see Finke-Anlauff, paragraph 19).

The teachings of Finke-Anlauff help to ensure efficient use of the portable terminal's battery power, by deactivating operation sections when they are not being used. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Finke-Anlauff into those of Mizuta, for the reasons mentioned above.

What is further lacking from Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff is the second housing having a single display face.

In a similar field of endeavor, Ozaki teaches a substantially similar device having a single display face (3, fig 1) on the second housing (1, fig 1) (see Ozaki, figures 1 and 4-6).

The teachings of Ozaki demonstrate that the secondary display of Mizuta (see Mizuta, 206, fig 9) can be excluded. By removing that secondary display, the cost of the portable terminal unit can be reduced. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Ozaki into those of Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff, for the reasons mentioned above.

What is lacking from Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff in view of Ozaki is the auxiliary operation section being inoperative in the transition state between opened and closed. As noted above Finke-Anlauff teaches deactivating the auxiliary operation section during the fully open state and activating is when fully closed, but makes no mention of what state the terminal would be in between.

In a similar field of endeavor, Metroka defines the open state as a set point (45 degrees) in the transition from fully opened to fully closed (see Metroka, column 3, lines 6-32).

The teachings of Metroka define the state of the device when it is between a fully opened and fully closed state thereby removing ambiguity during this transition. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Metroka into those of Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff in view of Ozaki for the reason mentioned above.

As to claim 8, Finke-Anlauff further teaches a state detecting section (15, fig 4) and a lock control section (18, fig 4) (see Finke-Anlauff, paragraph 19).

As to claim 9, Finke-Anlauff further teaches that the auxiliary operation section is operative when both housings of the portable terminal unit are in the closed state (folded) and inoperative when both housings are in other states than the closed state (unfolded) (see Finke-Anlauff, figs 1 and 2 and paragraph 19).

As to claim 10, Finke-Anlauff further teaches that the auxiliary operation section is inoperative when both housings of the portable terminal unit are in the opened state (unfolded) and operative when both housings are in other states than the opened state (folded) (see Finke-Anlauff, figs 1 and 2 and paragraph 19).

As to claim 11, Mizuta further teaches that the second housing has a display section faced in the same direction as the direction of the surface having the main operation section (see figures 9b-9d).

As to claim 13, Mizuta further teaches that the device is a mobile radiotelephone (see paragraph 160).

As to claim 14, Mizuta further teaches that the device is a personal digital assistant (see paragraph 160).

As to claim 16, Mizuta further teaches that the main display section is a single display unit (see figure 4a).

4. **Claims 1-6, 17-24** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0064758 (Mizuta et al.) in view of US 2002/0006815 (Finke-Anlauff) in view of US 6839101 (Shima) in view of US 2002/0061770 (Ozaki) in view of US 4845772 (Metroka et al.).

As to claim 1, Mizuta teaches a portable terminal unit (500, fig 4a) comprising:

- A first housing (100, fig 4a) having at least a main operation section (102, fig 4a);
- A second housing (200, figs 4a) having an auxiliary operation section (207, fig 4a) and a main display section (202, fig 4a) for displaying screens responsive

to the operation one of the main operation section and said auxiliary operation section (see paragraphs 76 and 96);

- A coupling section for coupling both of said housings to move between an open state and a closed state so that said main operation section is covered with said second housing in the closed state and is exposed outside in the opened state, and said main display section is exposed outside in both of the closed state and the opened state (see figures 9b-9d),
- Said auxiliary operation section disposed on a side of said portable terminal unit relative to said main display section (note that these keys can be on the side, see paragraph 159) comprising at least one key provided on a surface other than surfaces, which are opposed to each other of said both housings in the closed state including other than said main display section,
- A detecting section (113, fig 6) for detecting movement of said coupling section to determine whether said portable terminal unit is in the opened state or in the closed state;
- Wherein the auxiliary operation section is used to at least navigate and view information displayed on the main display section in the closed state (see paragraphs 125 and 142).
- Wherein in the opened state said main operation section is used to operate said main display section and wherein said auxiliary operation section operative to operate to navigate and view information on the main display section in the closed state (see paragraphs 122, 125 and 142)

What is lacking from Mizuta is "wherein said auxiliary operation section is inoperative at least in the opened state but operative only in the closed state". Mizuta teaches that the auxiliary operation section is to be used in the opened state (see paragraphs 125 and 142) but fails to teach deactivating the auxiliary operation section in the closed state and activating it in the opened state.

In a similar field of endeavor, Finke-Anlauff teaches a portable terminal unit that comprises a single display (4, figs 1 and 2), main operation section (10+11, fig 2) to be used in an open state, and an auxiliary operation section (9, fig 2) to be used in a closed state. Finke-Anlauff teaches that the auxiliary operation section is inoperative in the opened state when it is not being used but operative in the closed state when it is to be used (see Finke-Anlauff, paragraph 19).

The teachings of Finke-Anlauff help to ensure efficient use of the portable terminal's battery power, by deactivating operation sections when they are not being used. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Finke-Anlauff into those of Mizuta, for the reasons mentioned above.

What is lacking from Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff is the auxiliary operation section being comprised on the first housing. Mizuta teaches the auxiliary operation section being comprised on a side of the second housing, but not the first (see paragraph 159).

In a similar field of endeavor, Shima teaches a portable terminal with a main operation section (3, fig 4) on a first housing (22, fig 4) and a single display (1, fig 4) on

a second housing (21, fig4) viewable in both an open and closed state. The portable terminal has an auxiliary operation section (side keys, 3, fig 4) that is comprised on both the sides of the first and second housings (see Shima, column 7, lines 42-45).

The teachings of Shima provide additional functionality (by providing more room for keys) for the portable terminal taught by Mizuta when it is in the closed state. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Shima into those of Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff, for the reasons mentioned above.

What is further lacking from Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff in view of Shima is the second housing having a single display face.

In a similar field of endeavor, Ozaki teaches a substantially similar device having a single display face (3, fig 1) on the second housing (1, fig 1) (see Ozaki, figures 1 and 4-6).

The teachings of Ozaki demonstrate that the secondary display of Mizuta (see Mizuta, 206, fig 9) can be excluded. By removing that secondary display, the cost of the portable terminal unit can be reduced. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Ozaki into those of Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff in view of Shima, for the reasons mentioned above.

What is lacking from of Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff in view of Shima in view of Ozaki is the auxiliary operation section being inoperative in the transition state between opened and closed. As noted above Finke-Anlauff teaches deactivating the auxiliary

operation section during the fully open state and activating is when fully closed, but makes no mention of what state the terminal would be in between.

In a similar field of endeavor, Metroka defines the open state as a set point (45 degrees) in the transition from fully opened to fully closed (see Metroka, column 3, lines 6-32).

The teachings of Metroka define the state of the device when it is between a fully opened and fully closed state thereby removing ambiguity during this transition. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Metroka into those of Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff in view of Shima in view of Ozaki for the reason mentioned above.

As to claims 2 and 24, Finke-Anlauff further teaches a state detecting section (15, fig 4) and a lock control section (18, fig 4) (see Finke-Anlauff, paragraph 19) used in rendering the auxiliary operating section operative or inoperative. This teaching provides further detail to the teachings of Finke-Anlauff cited in the rejection of claim 1 above, therefor the motivation to use this teaching has already been provided.

As to claim 3, Finke-Anlauff further teaches that the auxiliary operation section is operative when both housings of the portable terminal unit are in the closed state (folded) and inoperative when both housings are in other states than the closed state (unfolded) (see Finke-Anlauff, figs 1 and 2 and paragraph 19). This teaching provides further detail to the teachings of Finke-Anlauff cited in the rejection of claim 1 above, therefor the motivation to use this teaching has already been provided.

As to claim 4, Finke-Anlauff further teaches that the auxiliary operation section is inoperative when both housings of the portable terminal unit are in the opened state (unfolded) and operative when both housings are in other states than the opened state (folded) (see Finke-Anlauff, figs 1 and 2 and paragraph 19). This teaching provides further detail to the teachings of Finke-Anlauff cited in the rejection of claim 1 above, therefor the motivation to use this teaching has already been provided.

As to claim 5, Mizuta further teaches that the device is a mobile radiotelephone (see paragraph 160).

As to claim 6, Mizuta further teaches that the device is a personal digital assistant (see paragraph 160).

As to claim 17, note that the auxiliary operation section cited the rejection of claim 1 comprises side keys in a longitudinal direction on the first housing (see Shima, figure 4).

As to claim 18, note that the auxiliary operation section cited the rejection of claim 1 comprises side keys disposed on an end portion of the side surface in the longitudinal direction (see Shima, figure 4).

As to claim 19, note that the auxiliary operation section cited the rejection of claim 1 comprises side keys disposed in the vicinity of a connecting unit for connecting the first and second housing (see Shima, figure 4).

As to claim 20, note that the auxiliary operation section cited the rejection of claim 1 comprises side keys provided on a side surface of both the first and second housing (see Shima, column 7, lines 42-45).

As to claim 21, what is lacking is the auxiliary operation section having a lever switch. Examiner takes official notice that lever switches on sides of portable terminals were well known in the arts at the time the invention was made. Lever switches can be used in place of conventional keys in shutting on or off certain functions, or increasing or decreasing aspects of the terminals such as volume and backlight intensity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to utilize lever switches in the portable terminal taught by Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff in view of Shima, for the reasons mentioned above.

As to claim 22, note that the auxiliary operation section cited the rejection of claim 1 comprises side keys provided on both sides of a given housing (see Shima, column 7, lines 42-45 and figure 4).

As to claim 23, note that the auxiliary operation section cited the rejection of claim 1 comprises side keys disposed on a side surface of the second housing that is not close to the main display (see Shima, figure 4).

5. **Claim 12** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0064758 (Mizuta et al.) in view of US 2002/0006815 (Finke-Anlauff) in view of US 2002/0061770 (Ozaki) in view 4845772 (Metroka et al.) as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of US 6839101 (Shima).

As to claim 12, what is lacking from Mizuta in view of Finke-Anluff in view of Ozaki in view of Metroka is the auxiliary operation section being comprised on the first housing. Mizuta teaches the auxiliary operation section being comprised on a side of the second housing, but not the first (see paragraph 159).

In a similar field of endeavor, Shima teaches a portable terminal with a main operation section (3, fig 4) on a first housing (22, fig 4) and a single display (1, fig 4) on a second housing (21, fig4) viewable in both an open and closed state. The portable terminal has an auxiliary operation section (side keys, 3, fig 4) that is comprised on both the sides of the first and second housings (see Shima, column 7, lines 42-45).

The teachings of Shima provide additional functionality (by providing more room for keys) for the portable terminal taught by Mizuta when it is in the closed state. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Shima into those of Mizuta in view of Finke-Anlauff in view of Ozaki in view of Metroka, for the reasons mentioned above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAZDA SABOURI whose telephone number is (571)272-8892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent P. Harper can be reached at 571-272-7605. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Art Unit: 2617

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mazda Sabouri
Examiner
Art Unit 2617

/M. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Charles N. Appiah/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617